

WILLIAM HENRY PEOPLES, III,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHARLOTTE MECK POLICE
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

THIS MATTER is before the Court sua sponte.

Case 3:23-cv-00207-MR Document 15 Filed 09/05/23 Page 1 of 2

add on to the Complaint,” that was docketed as an Addendum to the Complaint. [Doc. 14].


The Plaintiff has failed to file a superseding Amended Complaint, and the time to do so has expired. The Plaintiff appears to have abandoned this action, and the Court is unable to proceed. This case will therefore be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (“If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it.”); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-33 (1962) (although Rule 41(b) does not expressly provide for sua sponte dismissal, Rule 41(b) does not imply any such restriction and a court has the inherent power to dismiss a case for lack of prosecution or violation of a court order).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to terminate this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: September 1, 2023



Martin Reidinger
Chief United States District Judge

